

Regd.

From

The Director of Agriculture-cum-
Appellate Authority, Haryana
Krishi Bhawan, Sector-21, Panchkula.

To


Deputy Director of Agriculture,
Sirsa

Memo No. 349 /Legal Cell
Dated, Panchkula the :- 24.07.2015

**Subject :- Appeal – M/s Kisan Beej Bhandar for Renewal of Insect.
Licence No. 343**

The above cited appeal (against order dated 05.06.2015
passed by DDA, Sirsa) has been decided by the Competent Authority.
The copy of the order is being sent to you for necessary action.

Encl. As above.




Asstt. Distt. Attorney,
for Director of Agriculture-cum-
Appellate Authority, Haryana.

Regd.

Endst. No. 350 /DDA(Legal), Dated, Panchkula the : 24.07.2015

A copy is forwarded to M/s Kisan Beej Bhandar, Old Bus
Stand, Ratia, Distt. Sirsa for information.

Encls: As above.



Asstt. Distt. Attorney,
for Director of Agriculture-cum-
Appellate Authority, Haryana.

CC:

Programmer

**BEFORE THE DIRECTOR OF AGRICULTURE, HARYANA
EXERCISING THE POWERS OF APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER
THE INSECTICIDES ACT, 1968**

M/s Kisan Beej Bhandar,
Old Bus Stand, Rania
Distt. Sirsa.

.... Appellant

Versus

Deputy Director of Agriculture, Sirsa

... Respondent

Present :

1. Sh. Pawan Kumar, Prop. on behalf of the appellant.
2. Sh. Ishwar Dutt, Acctt. on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

The appellant has filed the present appeal against the impugned order dated 05.06.2015 passed by the Licensing Authority-cum-Deputy Director of Agriculture, Sirsa whereby his application for renewal of Insecticide License No. 343 was rejected.

Briefly, the facts of the case are that the appellant is holder of a Insecticide license to sell insecticide/pesticides. The above license was valid upto 31.12.2014. The appellant applied to the respondent for renewal of said license on 05.06.2015 which was rejected by the respondent vide impugned order dated 05.06.2015. Hence, the present appeal.

Sh. Pawan Kumar, Prop. appeared on behalf of the appellant. He has admitted that he could not get his license renewed in time due to family dispute.

I have heard both the parties and perused the relevant record.

The license of the appellant was valid upto 31.12.2014. Admittedly, the appellant did not apply for renewal of his license within

the prescribed period. The explanation given by the appellant is genuine. Although it has been established that the appellant has contravened the provisions of The Insecticides Act and the Rules made there-under, yet the violation is not so grave which warrants debarring the appellant from doing the business of sale of Insecticides/Pesticides. Hence, the delay is condoned.

In view of the above, I, M. Shayin, IAS, Director of Agriculture, Haryana exercising the powers of Appellate Authority under Section 15 of The Insecticides Act, 1968 accept the present appeal and set aside the impugned order dated 05.06.2015 subject to deposition of penalty of Rs.12000/- within a period of 15 days of receipt of this order

I order accordingly.

Dated : 17.07.2015
Place : Panchkula


(M. SHAYIN, IAS)
Director of Agriculture-cum-
Appellate Authority, Haryana.

Regd.

From

The Director of Agriculture-cum-
Appellate Authority, Haryana
Krishi Bhawan, Sector-21, Panchkula.

To


Deputy Director of Agriculture,
Sirsa

Memo No. 345 /Legal Cell
Dated, Panchkula the :- 24.07.2015

**Subject :- Appeal – M/s Shri Ram Pesticides & Fert. for Renewal of
Insect. Licence No. 1420**

The above cited appeal (against order dated 25.05.2015
passed by DDA, Sirsa) has been decided by the Competent Authority.
The copy of the order is being sent to you for necessary action.

Encl. As above.



24/7/15.
Asstt. Distt. Attorney,
for Director of Agriculture-cum-
Appellate Authority, Haryana.

Regd.

Endst. No. 346 /DDA(Legal), Dated, Panchkula the : 24.07.2015

A copy is forwarded to **M/s Shri Ram Pesticides & Fert.**
Village: Chautala, Tehsil Dabwali (Sirsa) for information.

Encls: As above.


24/7/15.
Asstt. Distt. Attorney,
for Director of Agriculture-cum-
Appellate Authority, Haryana.

CC:

Programmer

~~Asstt. Distt. Attorney~~

**BEFORE THE DIRECTOR OF AGRICULTURE, HARYANA
EXERCISING THE POWERS OF APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER
THE INSECTICIDES ACT, 1968**

M/s Shri Ram Pesticides & Fertilizer,
Village: Chautala, Tehsil: Dabwali
Distt. Sirsa.

.... Appellant

Versus

Deputy Director of Agriculture, Sirsa

... Respondent

Present :

1. Sh. Hari Krishan, Prop. on behalf of the appellant.
2. Sh. Ishwar Dutt. Acctt. on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

The appellant has filed the present appeal against the impugned order dated 25.05.2015 passed by the Licensing Authority-cum-Deputy Director of Agriculture, Sirsa whereby his application for renewal of Insecticide License No. 1420 was rejected.

Briefly, the facts of the case are that the appellant is holder of a Insecticide license to sell insecticide/pesticides. The above license was valid upto 31.12.2014. The appellant applied to the respondent for renewal of said license on 10.04.2015 which was rejected by the respondent vide impugned order dated 25.05.2015. Hence, the present appeal.

Sh. Hari Krishan, Prop. appeared on behalf of the appellant. He has admitted that he could not get his license renewed in time due to his accident.

I have heard both the parties and perused the relevant record.

The license of the appellant was valid upto 31.12.2014. Admittedly, the appellant did not apply for renewal of his license within



the prescribed period. The explanation given by the appellant is genuine. Although it has been established that the appellant has contravened the provisions of The Insecticides Act and the Rules made there-under, yet the violation is not so grave which warrants debarring the appellant from doing the business of sale of Insecticides/Pesticides. Hence, the delay is condoned.

In view of the above, I, M. Shayin, IAS, Director of Agriculture, Haryana exercising the powers of Appellate Authority under Section 15 of The Insecticides Act, 1968 accept the present appeal and set aside the impugned order dated 25.05.2015 subject to deposition of penalty of Rs. 8000/- within a period of 15 days of receipt of this order I order accordingly.

Dated : 17.07.2015
Place : Panchkula


(M. SHAYIN, IAS)
Director of Agriculture-cum-
Appellate Authority, Haryana.

Regd.

From

The Director of Agriculture-cum-
Appellate Authority, Haryana
Krishi Bhawan, Sector-21, Panchkula.

To


Deputy Director of Agriculture,
Sirsa

Memo No. 339 /Legal Cell
Dated, Panchkula the :- 24/07/2015

**Subject :- Appeal – M/s Vishal Beej Bhandar for Renewal of Seed
Licence No. 2102**

The above cited appeal (against order dated 27.04.2015
passed by DDA, Sirsa) has been decided by the Competent Authority.
The copy of the order is being sent to you for necessary action.

Encl. As above.



24/7/15
Asstt. Distt. Attorney,
for Director of Agriculture-cum-
Appellate Authority, Haryana.

Regd.

Endst. No. 340 /DDA(Legal), Dated, Panchkula the : 24.07.2015

A copy is forwarded to M/s Vishal Beej Bhandar, Main Bazar,
Ellenabad (Sirsa) for information.

Encls: As above.


24/7/15.
Asstt. Distt. Attorney,
for Director of Agriculture-cum-
Appellate Authority, Haryana.

CC:

Programmer

**BEFORE THE DIRECTOR OF AGRICULTURE, HARYANA
EXERCISING THE POWERS OF APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER
THE SEED (CONTROL) ORDER, 1983**

M/s Vishal Beej Bhandar,
Main Bazar,
Ellenabad,

.... Appellant

Versus

Deputy Director of Agriculture, Sirsa

... Respondent

Present :

1. Sh. Vishal Setia, Prop. on behalf of the appellant.
2. Sh. Ishwar Dutt, Acctt. on behalf of the respondent.


ORDER

The appellant has filed the present appeal against the impugned order dated 27.04.2015 passed by the Licensing Authority-cum-Deputy Director of Agriculture, Sirsa, whereby his application for the renewal of Seed License No. 2102 was rejected.

Briefly, the facts of the case are that the appellant was holder of seed license No. 2102 to sell the seeds. The above license was valid upto 13.11.2014. The appellant applied to the respondent for renewal of the said license on 07.04.2015 which was rejected by the respondent vide order dated 27.4.2015. Hence, the present appeal.

Sh. Ishwar Dutt, Acctt. appeared on behalf of the respondent. He submitted that the appellant has not applied for the renewal of his licence in prescribed period as envisaged in The Seed (Control) Order, 1983. He further submitted that the appellant deserves no sympathy and appeal is liable to be dismissed.

Sh. Vishal Setia, Prop. appeared on behalf of the appellant. He has admitted that the license could not be got renewed in time due to family dispute.




I have heard both the parties and perused the relevant record. Admittedly, the appellant did not apply for renewal of his license within the prescribed period. It has been established that the appellant has contravened the provisions of The Seeds (Control) Order 1983. The explanation given by the appellant does not appears to be genuine and sustainable, however, after taking a lenient view the delay is condoned.

In view of the above, I, M.Shayin, IAS, Director of Agriculture, Haryana exercising the Powers of Appellate Authority under The Seed (Control) Order. 1983, accept the present appeal and set aside the impugned order dated 27.04.2015 subject to the payment of penalty of Rs. 10000/- (Rupees ten thousand only) within a period of 15 days from the receipt of the copy of this order.

I order accordingly.

Dated: 17.07.2015
Place: Panchkula


(M. SHAYIN IAS)
Director of Agriculture-cum-
Appellate Authority, Haryana.